

MINT OF THE UNITED STATES,

MELTING & REFINING DEPARTMENT,

Philadelphia, 10th June. 1879

Sir

I herewith offer you the results of the Experiments made with Mr. A. E. Outerbridge's apparatus on volatilization of standard silver, & its recovery from vapor, within the last two weeks. The following statement of the amount worked, & produced, & of apparent wastage, will exhibit the whole operation.

Amount worked		St. oz. 17,629.38
Ingot's delivered	St. oz. 17,278.68	
Bars, tops, & filings, of ingots	280.99	
Grains gathered (with $\frac{2}{1000}$ Gold)	.99	
Grains gathered from volatilization	1.01	17,561.67
apparent wastage		<u>67.71</u>

It is extremely annoying that the very large apparent wastage of $67\frac{71}{100}$ oz. should have occurred in experimental melts. We are ~~shocked~~^{at pained} by its occurrence

one or more times every month, & having proved

Wm A. Loudon Snowden Suptt.

over

$$17.629 \cdot \left(\frac{100000}{58145} \cdot .0567 \right)$$

$$\begin{array}{r} 118550 \\ 105774 \\ \hline 127760 \end{array}$$

2

& over again, that it has been due to misredding or misre-
 cording weights, oftentimes to intermixture of different lots
 or parts of lots, in our crowded vaults, & finding that such
 results do not exhibit themselves at our settlements, we
 are obliged to rest content with them, & the anxiety they
 engender, until we can devise some improvement to be ^{apparent}
^{in successive daily workings.} rid of the anomaly of alternate loss & gain. This is certain
^{daily workings last year was about .0075. whereas at the annual settlement it was only .000-15 or}
 that Mr. Buterbridge's apparatus or process is not respon-
^{to the legal allowance} sible for the error.

Throwing this ^{irregularity} ~~consideration~~ aside for the present
 in order to examine Mr. Buterbridge's results & conclu-
^{1. small amt. volatilized} sions, & assuming the average ^{apparent} daily wastage observed
^{during the past year} in melting ingots, say .000-75, ^{which would be} or in this case about 13
 ounces, - we are struck with the small amount volatil-
 ized, 1 oz., or $\frac{1}{13}$ of the apparent wastage. ~~Since only standard~~
~~metal only was employed, our conclusion, is that in melting standard metal~~
^{the single lot} From ~~this trial~~ by Mr. Buterbridge we learn that in melting silver
^{from within the melting pot} ingots the amount volatilized, is only $\left(\frac{.000-037}{100000} \right)$ ^{more exactly} of the whole amount
^{alone} worked. This conclusion ^{is of value}, & should be & will of doubtless

in the ~~main~~ ^{of more or} be confirmed by further experiment, for I think we may safely assume that he ~~recovered~~ ^{the silver} all that vaporized from the interior of the pot. The following table will show the proportion

he saved of the whole actual & final wastages of the ^{two last} ~~years~~ ^{years}

On settlement 30 June 1877	wastage of silver	.000-25
" " 1878	" "	.000-15
Volatilized silver condensed by outer bridge		.000-06

This The principles involved in his ~~apparatus~~ apparatus are quite sound, & the results satisfactory.

In regard to the apparatus, I am disposed to iterate what I think I said before, that it only requires a little time ^{thoughtful} & practice to perfect ~~the~~ its, ~~so as to make it~~ & adapt ^{it} to general use, and I hope ~~that~~ M^r O. may be encouraged to do so.

I have avoided ~~a comparison of the~~ & a conclusion as to the actual amount saved by M^r O's ^{in comparison with ~~our~~ own work} process apparatus, ^{1st} because it ^{is} ~~is~~ yet ^{his apparatus} ~~it~~ will be yet further improved, & ^{2nd} because we actually save a portion of the vapors arising from the surface of melted silver in the pot, by closing the drafts of the furnace ~~in~~ when at work, so that some of the ~~the~~ vapor certainly escapes into the air of the room, fall on ^{daily} & is swept up from the floor to pass into the sweep. We ^{only} know this ^{absolutely} fact, but nothing of the amount so saved, nevertheless, ^{we} would doubtless save ~~for~~ more & with more certainty ~~it~~ ~~would be far better to save~~ by ~~a good~~ an arrangement

made for the express purpose than trust to haphazard volatilization ^{of silver} ~~of other metals than copper~~ with ^{arbitrating} ~~arbitrating~~ metals. ^{principle} ~~principle~~ & ^{are} ~~are~~

2. ^{independently} ~~independently~~ of economy Mr O's apparatus ^{is} ~~is~~ ^{never yet} ~~never yet~~ adapted to ^{answer} ~~determine~~ some questions ^{in regard to the Royal metals} ~~that have not been de-~~ ^{an} ~~very~~ unsatisfactory manner; I allude to the volatility ^{such as} ~~of~~ metals ^{or metalloids} ~~being~~ influences of ^{these} ~~metals~~ more or less frequently associated with Gold & Silver, ^{quarries} ~~of these~~ ^{several occur important to me now, & have been & are among us} ~~I conceive of two series,~~ - to determine the volatilization of the noble metals with each of these associates separately, then conjointly, and lastly, when alloyed with copper, ^{as standard metal} ~~the~~ ^{one probable} ~~advan-~~ ^{we may discover} ~~age~~ of examining these influences separately will be, that ^{practical} ~~these may~~ ~~be observed~~ some external evidences of their presence as a guide to the milter, & ^{that as a consequence we can} ~~experiments can be more satisfactorily made to~~ ^{devise a method of} ~~removing these~~ ^{injurious} ~~comparisons.~~

3. I further think I am justified in recommending that ^{its alloys} ~~a~~ parallel series of experiments on Gold should be made by Mr O's apparatus, ^{when} ~~if~~ time & opportunity convene.

~~While these experiments will not add to Mr O's reputation,~~ they don't doubt but that these experiments will, I doubt not, prove of great value to the economic workings of the precious metals (by the U.S. Govt in coinage) & will I doubt reflect favorably on the governing powers that

450 1

16 1/2

225

2709

450

7425

147

74

1323

5

34. When we examine the ratio of the amount ^{of silver} volatilized, from within the melting pot to the amount of wastage at the end of a year (as shown ^{above} in Sec. 2)

2) 6 to 15 or 25 } we know that $\frac{.000 \text{ of } 9 \text{ to } 19}{100000}$ have

been lost in other ways, & since we have carefully gathered all the fragments & dust from every ~~source~~ locality within our reach, ~~we~~ I think we must draw the conclusion that the loss is ^{largely} due to volatilization from the body of the furnace, & therefore from metal spilled, ^{in it} ~~or leaking from the crucible~~. We are subject continually to spilling & splashing ^{particles of} ~~the~~ melted in ladling it out to cast, and ~~these~~ the remainder of these particles we get from the ashes, from the lining of the furnace, &c. ~~That~~ That which adheres to the sides of the furnace is for a long time exposed to the draft of air passing up through the furnace at a bright red heat, & some of it must be volatilized. I have long been aware of this & have ~~been somewhat~~ ~~successful~~ in ~~dim~~ endeavored to diminish this personal error of a melter, ~~with some success~~ yet improvement in this direction is much needed, & can only be most successful in ^{pouring & not instead of} ~~not~~ ~~ladling~~ out of the ~~pot~~, melting pot. Mr. O's experiments have ~~I~~ confirmed my conclusions. It is this view that (that most of the loss is due to accidental spilling, in spite of extreme care), that led me long since to recommend the construction of condensing chambers, where all volatilizing matters would condense & be gathered,

from what soever they arise. While I admire Mr O's principle & the general nature of his ^{improvement}, & wish to see it perfected & brought into use, I still think ^{condensing} the chambers should also be employed; for we can hardly overdo the work of saving in treating gold & silver.

5. In regard to Mr O's apparatus, I am disposed to iterate ^{formerly} what I said ~~to~~ that it only requires a little time & thoughtful practice to perfect its adaptation to ~~general use~~ a more economical working of the precious metals for coinage; and that even now it is well suited to determine ~~questions~~ of the reasons of loss in melting the precious metals & ~~their~~ remedy for the loss. I respectfully draw attention ^{his discovery of} ~~to the~~ ⁱⁿ ~~unlooked for result of~~ using the present form ^{his} of ~~it~~, viz. that it actually diminishes the draft of air over the surface of the melted metal, & hence tends to diminish ^{its} volatilization; for I am well satisfied from long & varied observation, confirmed by that of others, that a current of any gas or vapor over the surface of ^{melted} gold or silver, & especially of the latter, ~~at a~~ will ~~carry~~ inducibly carry up ~~that~~ small quantities of that metal to be deposited in the flue & partly ^{into} thrown into the ^{air} ~~air~~ ^{outside} of ~~the~~

From the ^{above} statement ~~It just made~~ there are several inferences of value.

(over)

1. By comparing the amount gathered from volatilization, ^{.36 oz.} which I think may be assumed safely to be all that volatilized from the metal within the ~~with~~ crucible, with the ^{total} apparent wastage 68.36 oz. ~~there~~ it is at once manifest that wastage is ~~due~~ almost wholly due to spilling of metal in various ways into the fire. ~~The~~ The experiments on volatilization are valuable, if only to settle this single point. It is true that in our daily workings, the above wastage is 4 or 5 times as ^{much as} in our daily workings, & I have ^{the excess} shown that is to be ascribed chiefly to the iron ring of the ^{experimental} apparatus narrowing the mouth of the crucible & making it difficult to ladle out metal without striking the ring, & so projecting or dropping ^{a little} ~~some~~ metal into the fire. But some of the excess ^{of wastage is most likely} ~~may be~~ due to the extreme difficulty of keeping the grains of each day or operation, apart from others ^{grains.} in the confined space in the sweep cellar. The ~~presence~~ of exceeding smallness of the grain bar, about 1 oz., & the presence of gold in it, show to me that the true grains of the experiment have mingled with others nevertheless, if we assume the wastage to have been as usual in our daily operation ^{silver & gold} ~~melting~~, the important ~~say~~ 10 @ 18 oz., ~~still~~ the important conclusion is clear that nearly all the

The apparent wastage of $68\frac{2}{8}$ oz. is extremely annoying especially in ~~an~~ experimental melting, & led me to examine the subject minutely, to discover the cause. The only conclusion that I can safely draw is that which I have often ~~attained~~ ^{proved} in our ^{large} daily meltings that occasional errors in weights, ~~more or less~~ ^{reading or recording} & occasionally ~~more or less~~ ^{accidentally} mingling of parts of different lots into the fore, are the chief. I think I may say, the only causes of the irregular returns of wastage, & that the present case is an instance. I believe it is that when carefully ~~wind up accounts~~ ^{wind up accounts} close a series of accounts the total wastage is ~~very much lowered~~ ^{materially diminished}.

By throwing aside ~~to~~ the apparent wastage for the purpose of examining Mr. Outerbridge's ~~accounts~~ ^{in melting silver} experiment, & adopting the general average wastage $\frac{.00075}{\text{about } 13 \text{ oz.}}$ ~~the 1st inference of importance drawn from his experiment is that~~

Undoubtedly Mr. Outerbridge's apparatus ^{or} process ~~is~~ ^{is} not responsible for the excessive wastage.

The most striking fact is that ~~in the~~ the exceeding ^{ly} small amount volatilized, ~~26~~ ²⁶ $\frac{3}{8}$ of an ounce, compared with the large amount of usual apparent wastage 13 oz. I can see no room for any fallacy whatever in the working of Mr. Outerbridge's apparatus principle.

